

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,281	09/27/2001	Ching-Wei Chang	SLA 1009	6798
7.	590 10/04/2005	EXAMINER		
	na, Patent Attorney ories of America, Inc.	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
	ic Rim Boulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Camas, WA 98607			2624	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/9	67,281	CHANG, CHING-WEI				
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Step	hen M. Brinich	2624	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress -			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are depatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE O of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNI no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MOI he application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-17 and 20-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 4-6 8-17 20-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		•				
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any object	•		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is r	equired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		received in this National	l Stage			
* (	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action			t received				
	see the attached detailed Office action	TIOI A IISTOI TIIE	certined copies no	received.				
A44.								
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗀 latendere	Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
🗷 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date 9/27/01.		5)  Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 depends from cancelled claim 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-10, 16-17, & 20-24, insofar as claim 8 is understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Venable (US 6480626).

Re claims 1-2, 6, 16-17, & 22-24, Venable discloses (column 3, line 36 - column 4, line 23) a printer arrangement for processing and halftone rendering image data pixels in which a set of additional information bit tags each having one of two states is attached to each pixel. The pixel data is then accessed and subjected to halftone rendering in accordance with

Art Unit: 2624

one of these tags and colored in black or other colors in accordance with another of these tags.

Re claim 8, the "ED" option for a halftone tag (column 3, line 53) would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to refer to error diffusion halftoning.

Re claims 9 & 21, Venable further discloses (column 3, lines 49-50) tags distinguishing text and edge pixels.

Re claim 10, Venable further discloses (column 3, line 53) that up to four different tags may be assigned to indicate particular halftone processings.

Re claim 20, Venable further discloses (column 3, lines 49-55) that the additional information bit tags may comprise eight or more bits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 4-5, 11-15, & 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Venable in view of Harrington et al.

Re claims 4-5, 11, 15, & 25-26, Venable discloses the elements noted above, but does not disclose the use of a software implementation of the disclosed method (which would inherently constitute a "printer driver", as it would control a printing device) on a computer or the use of a graphical device interface for deriving the source image data.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,281

Art Unit: 2624

Harrington et al discloses (Abstract, Figure 2) the implementation of a halftone printing arrangement in which a computer provides a source image and transmits it to a computer along with instructions for rendering the image as a printer output.

Venable and Harrington et al are combinable because they are from the field of halftone image rendering.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the Jacobs arrangement for halftone image rendering in a computer with connected printer as shown in Harrington et al.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to enable a computer printer to use the pixel-selective halftone of Venable, thus allowing each region of an image rendered by a computer printer to be reproduced according to the optimum scheme for that region (see Jacobs column 4, lines 4-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Venable with Harrington et al to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4-5, 11, & 15.

Re claim 12, Venable further discloses (column 3, lines 49-50) distinguishing text and edge pixels.

Re claims 13-14, Venable further discloses (column 6, lines 18-24) describes the pixel data as digital data, which by

Application/Control Number: 09/967,281 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

standard definition consists of bits arranged from most to least significant (all of which are coupled to the tag data associated with that pixel data).

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 09/967,281

Art Unit: 2624

Page 6

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

September 30, 2005